## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

William Douglas Dawson, Jr., #248371,	) C/A No. 4:13-cv-1366 DCN TER
Plaintiff,	)
VS.	) O R D E R
Cpt. Rhonda Abston; Warden Michael	)
McCall; Assistant Warden Florence	)
Mauney; Assistant Warden Denis Bush;	)
South Carolina Dept. of Correction,	)
	)
Defendants.	)
	)

The above referenced case is before this court upon the magistrate judge's recommendation that this case be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process as to SCDC. It was also recommended that the motion for preliminary injunction be denied.

This court is charged with conducting a <u>de novo</u> review of any portion of the magistrate judge's report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, absent prompt objection by a dissatisfied party, it appears that Congress did not intend for the district court to review the factual and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge. <u>Thomas v Arn</u>, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Additionally, any party who fails to file timely, written objections to the magistrate judge's report pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to raise those objections at the appellate court level. <u>United States v. Schronce</u>, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 467 U.S. 1208 (1984). **Objections to the magistrate judge's report and** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985), the court held "that a <u>pro se</u> litigant must receive fair notification of the <u>consequences</u> of failure to object to a magistrate judge's report before such a procedural default will result in waiver of the right to appeal. The notice

4:13-cv-01366-DCN Date Filed 12/12/13 Entry Number 81 Page 2 of 2

recommendation were timely filed on June 27, 2013.

A <u>de novo</u> review of the record indicates that the magistrate judge's report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. On October 31, 2013, the magistrate judge entered a text order granting plaintiff's request to dismiss defendant SCDC from this action. Therefore, that portion of the Report and Recommendation is deemed moot. The remainder of the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation is **AFFIRMED**, and plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction is **DENIED**.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

David C. Norton

United States District Judge

December 12, 2013 Charleston, South Carolina

## NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified that any right to appeal this Order is governed by Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure

must be 'sufficiently understandable to one in appellant's circumstances fairly to appraise him of what is required." <u>Id.</u> at 846. Plaintiff was advised in a clear manner that his objections had to be filed within ten (10) days, and he received notice of the <u>consequences</u> at the appellate level of his failure to object to the magistrate judge's report.